



# Plant Archives

Journal homepage: <http://www.plantarchives.org>

DOI Url : <https://doi.org/10.51470/PLANTARCHIVES.2026.v26.supplement-2.053>

## PLANT GROWTH-PROMOTING RHIZOBACTERIA (PGPR): EMERGENCE IN AGRICULTURE

Stanzin Dorjey<sup>1\*</sup>, Feroz Din Sheikh<sup>1</sup>, Kunzang Lamo<sup>1</sup>, Rigzin Safal Sangdup<sup>1</sup>, Sabiya Asmat<sup>1</sup>, Sonam Angchuk<sup>1</sup>, Sonam Landol<sup>1</sup>, Jigmet Namgyal<sup>1</sup>, Jigmet Laskit<sup>1</sup>, Lobzang Stanzin<sup>2</sup> and Thilass Nurboo<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology-Kashmir, KVK Leh, J&K, India

<sup>2</sup> School of Agriculture Science and Technology, University of Ladakh, J&K, India

\*Corresponding author E-mail: [zinmigza@gmail.com](mailto:zinmigza@gmail.com)

(Date of Receiving : 29-03-2026; Date of Revision : 18-05-2026; Date of Acceptance : 04-06-2026)

### ABSTRACT

Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) are beneficial bacteria that colonize plant roots and that controls soil borne disease and enhance plant growth through various mechanisms. These bacteria are found in the rhizosphere, the soil region near plant roots, where they establish symbiotic or associative relationships with plants. Plant growth benefits due to the addition of PGPR include increase in germination rates, root growth and yield including grain, increase in leaf area, chlorophyll content, magnesium, nitrogen and protein content, hydraulic activity, tolerance to drought and salt stress, shoot and root weights and delayed leaf senescence.

**Keywords :** Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR), Rhizosphere.

### Introduction

Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) (Kloepper *et al.*, 1980) are non-pathogenic, beneficial soil-rhizobacteria that play a key role in plant health and nutrition (Lynch, 1990). These may benefit plant growth, either by improving plant nutrition or producing plant growth regulators (Gutierrez *et al.*, 1996; Gutierrez *et al.*, 2001). They may also prevent attack from pathogenic microorganisms (Van-Loon *et al.*, 1998; Bowen and Rovira, 1999) or help in the establishment of symbiosis with rhizobia or with mycorrhiza (Frey-Klett, 1997). PGPRs have been reported to directly enhance plant growth by a variety of mechanisms, such as fixation of atmospheric nitrogen that is transferred to the plants, production of siderophores that chelate iron and make it available to the plant roots, solubilization of minerals such as phosphorous and synthesis of phytohormones (Glick, 1995). Direct enhancement of mineral uptake due to increase in specific ion fluxes at the root surface in the presence of PGPRs has also been reported (Bashan and Levanony, 1991; Bertrand *et al.*, 2000). PGPR strains may use one or more of these mechanisms in the rhizosphere. PGPRs that synthesize auxins and

cytokinins or those that interface with plant ethylene synthesis have been identified (Glick, 1995; Garcia *et al.*, 2001; Percello-Carticaux, *et al.*, 2003). The indirect means by which PGPRs enhance plant growth is through suppression of phytopathogens by a variety of mechanisms. These include the ability to produce siderophores that chelate iron, making it unavailable to pathogens, the ability to synthesize anti-fungal metabolites such as antibiotics, fungal cell wall lysing enzymes or hydrogen cyanide, which suppress the growth of fungal pathogens (Bloemberg and Lugtenberg, 2001; Persello-Carticaux *et al.*, 2003).

Plant growth benefits due to the addition of PGPR include increase in germination rates, root growth and yield including grain, increase in leaf area, chlorophyll content, magnesium, nitrogen and protein content, hydraulic activity, tolerance to drought and salt stress, shoot and root weights and delayed leaf senescence (Lucy *et al.*, 2004).

The plant growth promoting activity of the beneficial rhizobacteria was also due to the production of some plant growth promoting substances, production of enzymes, and production of some antifungal and antibacterial secondary metabolites

(Weller, 1988). Among PGPR, fluorescent pseudomonads have been reported to be effective against a broad spectrum of plant pathogens including fungi, bacteria and viruses in many plant species e.g. bean, carnation, cucumber, radish, tobacco and tomato (Van Loon *et al.*, 1998).

Soil microorganisms (free-living, associative, and symbiotic rhizobacteria) belonging to the genera like *Actinobacter*, *Burkholderia*, *Enterobacter*, *Alcaligenes*, *Arthrobacter*, *Azospirillum*, *Azotobacter*, *Bacillus*, *Erwinia*, *Flavobacterium*, *Rhizobium*, *Serratia*, *Xanthomonas*, *Proteus*, and *Pseudomonas* are the integral parts of rhizosphere biota (Glick, 1995; Kaymak, 2011) and exhibit successful rhizosphere colonization.

### **PGPR and their hosts: rhizospheric and endophytic region**

For PGPR to have effect on plant growth via an enhancement of the nutrient status of their host, there obvious need to be an intimate relationship between the PGPR and the host plant. However, the degree of intimacy between the PGPR and the host plant can vary depending on where and how the PGPR colonizes the host plant. Relationships between PGPR and their hosts can be categorized into two levels of complexity (1) rhizospheric (2) endophytic.

#### **Rhizospheric region**

The rhizosphere can be defined as any volume of soil specifically influenced by plant roots and in association with roots and hairs and plant-produced material (Andrade *et al.*, 1997 and Bringham *et al.*, 2001). This space includes soil bound by plant roots, often extending a few mm from the root surface (Bringham *et al.*, 2001) and can include the plant root epidermal layer (Mahafee and Kloepper, 1997). Plant exudates in the rhizosphere, such as amino acids and sugars, provide a rich source of energy and nutrients for bacteria, resulting in bacterial populations greater in this area than outside the rhizosphere. Extracellular PGPR (ePGPR) which exist in rhizosphere increase plant growth through variety of mechanisms, these include genera such as *Bacillus*, *Pseudomonas*, *Chromobacterium*, *Agrobacterium* and free-living nitrogenfixing bacteria (*Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum*) (Prithviraj *et al.*, 2003 and Gray and Smith, 2005).

#### **Endophytic region**

Rhizobacteria that establish inside plant roots, forming more intimate associations, are endophytes. To aid in this conceptualization, simple terms have been adopted; intracellular PGPR (iPGPR) bacteria residing inside plant cells, producing nodules and

being localized inside those specialized structures. These include a wide range of soil bacteria forming less formal associations than the rhizobia-legume symbiosis, endophytes may stimulate plant growth, directly or indirectly and include the rhizobia. Soil bacteria in the genera *Rhizobium*, *Bradyrhizobium*, *Sinorhizobium*, *Mesorhizobium* and *Allorhizobium*, belonging to the family Rhizobiaceae, invade plant root systems and form root nodules (Martinez- Romero and Wang, 2000).

### **PGPR as biocontrol**

PGPR are free living, root colonizing bacteria that have beneficial effects on plants. They reduce disease severity and enhance yield of many crops (Murphy *et al.*, 2000). Zheng and Sinclair (2000) showed that *Bacillus megaterium* is a potential bacterial biocontrol agent against *Rhizoctonia solani*. Estevez *et al.* (2002) evaluated the effect of *Bacillus subtilis* and *Trichoderma harizianum* alone or in combination with Captan 400 and Vitavax 200 as biocontrol treatments against the dry bean root rot pathogens and reported that seed application of both the biocontrol agents increased plant biomass and decreased disease severity, under greenhouse conditions. They further reported that use of seeds treated with *B. subtilis* in field experiment reduced bean root rot and increased yield (31%) as compared to untreated control. Among the PGPR, fluorescent pseudomonads are the most exploited bacteria for biological control. In the past three decades, numerous strains of fluorescent pseudomonads have been isolated from soil and plant roots by several workers and their biocontrol activity against soil borne and foliar pathogens assessed (Rosales *et al.*, 1995; Rabindran and Vidhyasekaran, 1996; Vidhyasekaran and Muthamilan, 1999; Ramamoorthy *et al.*, 2001). PGPR is a component in integrated management systems in which reduced rates of agrochemicals and cultural control practices are used as biocontrol agents. Such an integrated system could be used for transplanted vegetables to produce more vigorous transplants that would be tolerant to nematodes and other diseases for at least a few weeks after transplanting to the field (Kloepper *et al.*, 2004). Biological control of plant diseases is a result of different types of interactions among microorganisms and can occur through different mechanisms, which are generally classified as: parasitism/predation, antibiosis, competition, lysis and induced resistance (Pal and Gardener, 2006). Root exudates provide energy-rich organic acids, sugars and amino acids that are metabolized within a short time by soil microorganisms, while specialized microorganisms

generate an array of biologically active compounds that elicit plant growth promotion (Sivasakthi, *et al.*, 2014).

Many biocontrol agents from *P. fluorescens* and closely related species are well characterized for their ability to produce antimicrobial compounds, including 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol (DAPG), phenazines, hydrogen cyanide and surfactants (Haas and De´fago, 2005). The use of biological control agents as an alternative to fungicides is increasing rapidly in the present day agriculture due to the deleterious effects of chemical pesticides on human health and environment (Maurya *et al.*, 2014). Rhizosphere competence of antagonists is a pre-requisite for the biological control of soil borne plant pathogens and this phenomenon was associated with the production of higher amounts of cellulolytic enzymes and increased saprophytic ability manipulating the environment around a crop plant to favour organisms that contribute to crop health and vigour rather than pesticides, which destroy a range of micro-organisms including the fungal pathogens (Najar *et al.*, 2011).

Humus and organic rich soil of valley are found favourable for the bioagents which could easily control the wilt disease. In fact, domestic antagonists are most virulent to the soil-borne pathogens because of their persistent growth capability under soil and local climatic conditions. (Dohroo, 2001). Domestic antagonists are most virulent strains to wilt pathogen because of their persistent capability under soil and local climatic conditions of Jammu and Kashmir (Najar *et al.*, 2011). A secondary metabolite produced commonly by rhizosphere pseudomonads is Hydrogen Cyanide (HCN), a gas known to negatively affect root metabolism and root growth and is a potential and environmentally compatible mechanism for biological control of weeds (Schippers *et al.*, 1990; Heydari, *et al.*, 2008).

In addition to rhizosphere and rhizoplane colonization, certain PGPR are reported to be endophytes who reside within the living plant tissues without doing substantive harm or gaining benefit other than residency (Kado, 1992). Biological control involves the use of beneficial organisms, their genes and/or products such as metabolites that reduce the negative effects of plant pathogens and promote positive responses by the plant. The use of biocontrol agents to control Fusarium wilt has been reported for many crops including tomato, cucumber, melon, strawberry, banana and carnation. Several reports have previously demonstrated the successful use of different spp. of *Trichoderma*, *Pseudomonas*, *Streptomyces*, non-pathogenic *Fusarium* (npFo) of both rhizospheric and endophytic in nature against Fusarium wilt disease

under both glass house and field conditions (Getha *et al.*, 2005). Biocontrol results either from competition for nutrients and space or as a result of the ability of biocontrol agents to produce and resist metabolites that either impede spore germination (fungistasis), kill the cells (antibiosis) or modify the rhizosphere e.g. by acidifying the soil so that the pathogen cannot grow. Biocontrol may also result from a direct interaction between the pathogen itself and the biocontrol agent, as in mycoparasitism, which involves physical contact and synthesis of hydrolytic enzymes, toxic compounds and antibiotics that act synergistically with the enzymes (Singh and Seneviratne, 2017).

### Interaction of pathogens with biocontrol agents

The application of microorganisms as biocontrol agents is important, since they may increase beneficial microbial activity, which extends for a long period of time. Several strains of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* have been reported to suppress soil borne diseases caused by pathogens (Sullivan and Gara, 1992). Biological control plays an important role in the management of bacterial wilt (Akiew *et al.*, 1993). Alabouvette *et al.* (1996) concluded that pathogen suppression was due to nutrient competition between pathogenic and saprophytic *F. oxysporum*, whereas, Schneider (1984) proposed that suppression was due to competition for infection sites at the root surface. Two chitinolytic bacterial strains, *Paenibacillus* sp. 300 and *Streptomyces* sp. 385, suppressed Fusarium wilt of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*) caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *cucumerinum* in non-sterile, soilless potting medium (Singh *et al.*, 1999). Several mechanisms have been proposed to be involved in the suppression of *F. oxysporum* by these antagonistic microorganisms. Of the various bioagents, *Trichoderma* spp. have been known to suppress many soil borne fungi including *Fusarium* spp by a variety of mechanisms, such as competition for nutrients, production of inhibitory volatiles and non-volatiles, mycoparasitism involving the production of hydrolytic enzymes (Bruce *et al.*, 1984; Desai and Schlosser 1999; Dhar *et al.*, 2006) and production of siderophores (Rane *et al.*, 2005; Dutta *et al.*, 2006).

*P. fluorescens* was also used as a biocontrol agent to manage Fusarium wilt in radish (Leeman *et al.*, 1995 b), bacterial wilt of tobacco (Liu *et al.*, 1999), cucumber wilt (Liu *et al.*, 1999), *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* in rice (Vidhyasekaran *et al.*, 2001), Eucalyptus wilt (Ran *et al.*, 2005), *R. solanacearum* in Chilli (Umesha *et al.*, 2005) and *Clavibacter michiganensis* (Umesha, 2006). *P. fluorescens* also improved seed quality under laboratory conditions and drastically reduced bacterial spot disease under field

conditions (Kavitha and Umesha, 2007). The utilization of *P. fluorescens* for the control of *Rhizoctonia solani* is a suitable strategy for the management of the disease under field conditions (Bautista *et al.*, 2007). *Ralstonia solanacearum* mostly persists through soil and crop residues (Granada and Sequeira, 1983). In crops such as tomato and eggplant, the pathogen is carried in seed (Shakya, 1993). A variety of biocontrol agents have been used by many scientists for managing bacterial wilt pathogen, *Ralstonia solanacearum* (*Pseudomonas fluorescens*) in different crops (Ciampi *et al.*, 1996; Karuna *et al.*, 2001), actinomycetes (Shekhawat *et al.*, 1993), avirulent strains of *R. solanacearum* (Arwiyanto *et al.*, 1994; Dong *et al.*, 1999), *Bacillus* spp. (Shekhawat *et al.*, 1993; Sunaina *et al.*, 1997; Abdalla *et al.*, 1999), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (Furuya *et al.*, 1997; Karuna *et al.*, 2001) and *Pseudomonas capaci* (Abdalla *et al.*, 1999). The use of *Bacillus subtilis*, *P. fluorescens* and *T. viride* provide promising against wilt of tomato caused by *R. solanacearum*, and *P. fluorescens* minimizing the disease (Biswas and Singh, 2008). Attempts have also been made under greenhouse studies and field conditions alike to show the efficacy of *P. fluorescens* in the management of plant diseases (Jayashree, *et al.*, 2000; Vidhyasekaran *et al.*, 2001; Umesha, 2006; Kavitha and Umesha, 2007). The biocontrol potential of fluorescent pseudomonas isolated from rhizosphere of pea and wheat *in vitro* and *in vivo* against maize sheath blight caused by *R. solani* (Tripathi and Johri, 2002). Further, the antagonistic rhizobacteria, more specifically fluorescent pseudomonads and certain *Bacillus* species possess the ability to inhibit fungal and bacterial root diseases of agricultural crops (Ahmadzadeh *et al.*, 2004).

## References

- Abdalla, M. Y., Al-Mihanona, A. A., Al-Rokibeh, A. A. and Ibrahim, G. H. (1999). Tomato bacterial wilt in Saudi Arabia and the use of antagonistic bacteria. *Annals of Agricultural Science*, **44**, 511–521.
- Ahmadzadeh, M., Tehrani, A. S. and Jahromi, K. T. (2004). Study on production of some antimicrobial metabolites by fluorescent pseudomonads. *Iranian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **35**, 731–739.
- Akiew, E., Trevorrow, P. R. and Tonells, P. E. (1993). Management of bacterial wilt of tobacco. In G. L. Hartman & A. C. Hayward (Eds.), *Bacterial wilt* (ACIAR Proceedings No. 45, pp. 270–275). Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research.
- Alabouvette, C., Lemanceau, P. and Steinberg, C. (1996). Use of non-pathogenic *Fusarium oxysporum* and fluorescent *Pseudomonas* to control *Fusarium* wilts. In T. Wenhua, R. J. Cook and A. Rovira (Eds.), *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Biological Control of Postharvest Diseases* (pp. 155–164). Hokkaido University.
- Andrade, G., Esteban, E., Velasco, L., Maria, J. L. and Bedmar, E. J. (1997). Isolation and identification of N<sub>2</sub>-fixing microorganisms from the rhizosphere of *Capparis spinosa* (L.). *Plant and Soil*, **197**, 19–23.
- Arwiyanto, T., Goto, M., Tsugunu, S. and Takikawa, Y. (1994). Biocontrol of bacterial wilt of tomato by an avirulent strain of *Pseudomonas solanacearum* isolated from *Strelitzia reginae*. *Annals of the Phytopathological Society of Japan*, **60**, 421–430.
- Bashan, Y. and Levanony, H. (1991). Alterations in membrane potential and in protein efflux in plant roots induced by *Azospirillum brasilense*. *Plant and Soil*, **137**, 99–103.
- Bautista, G., Mendoza, H. and Uribe, D. (2007). Biocontrol of *Rhizoctonia solani* in native potato (*Solanum phureja*) plants using native *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. *Acta Biológica Colombiana*, **12**, 19–32.
- Bertrand, H., Plassard, C., Pinochet, X., Toraine, B., Normand, P. and Cleyet-Morel, J. C. (2000). Stimulation of the ionic transport system in *Brassica napus* by a plant growth-promoting rhizobacterium (*Achromobacter* sp.). *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*, **46**, 229–236.
- Biswas, S. and Singh, N. P. (2008). Integrated management of wilt of tomato caused by *Ralstonia solanacearum*. *Journal of Mycology and Plant Pathology*, **38**, 18–20.
- Bloembergen, G. V. and Lugtenberg, B. J. J. (2001). Molecular basis of plant growth promotion and biocontrol by rhizobacteria. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, **4**, 343–350.
- Bowen, G. D. and Rovira, A. D. (1999). The rhizosphere and its management to improve plant growth. *Advances in Agronomy*, **66**, 1–103.
- Bringham, R. M., Cardon, Z. G. and Gage, D. J. (2001). Galactosides in the rhizosphere: Utilization by *Sinorhizobium meliloti* and development of a biosensor. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **98**, 4540–4545.
- Bruce, A., Austin, W. J. and King, B. (1984). Control of growth of *Leptinus lepideus* by volatiles from *Trichoderma*. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society*, **82**, 423–428.
- Ciampi, P. C., Fuentes, P. R., Schoebitz, T. R. and Ortega, A. S. (1996). Biological control of *Pseudomonas solanacearum*, the bacterial wilt agent. *Agro Sur*, **24**, 32–38.
- Desai, S. and Schlosser, E. (1999). Parasitism of *Sclerotium* by *Trichoderma*. *Indian Phytopathology*, **52**, 47–50.
- Dhar, V., Mishra, S. and Chaudhary, R. G. (2006). Differential efficacy of bioagents against *Fusarium udum* isolates. *Indian Phytopathology*, **59**, 290–293.
- Dohroo, N. P. (2001). Study on population dynamics of naturally occurring *Trichoderma harzianum* Rifai and its antagonistic potential against rhizome rot of ginger. *Indian Journal of Plant Pathology*, **19**, 39–43.
- Dong, C., Zeng, X., Liu, G., Zong, X. M. and Liu, Q. C. (1999). Biological control of tomato bacterial wilt with avirulent strain of *Ralstonia solanacearum*. *Journal of South China Agricultural University*, **20**, 1–4.
- Dutta, S., Kundu, A., Chakraborty, M. R., Ojha, S., Chakrabarti, J. and Chatterjee, N. C. (2006). Production and optimization of Fe(III)-specific ligand, the siderophore of soil-inhabiting and wood-rotting fungi as deterrent to

- plant pathogens. *Acta Phytopathologica et Entomologica Hungarica*, **41**, 237–248.
- Estevez-de-Jensen, C., Percich, J. A. and Graham, P. H. (2002). The effect of *Bacillus subtilis* and *Rhizoctonia* inoculation on dry beans on root rot severity and yield in Minnesota. *Annual Report of the Bean Improvement Cooperative*, **45**, 98–99.
- Frey-Klett, P., Pierrat, J. C. and Garbaye, J. (1997). Location and survival of mycorrhiza helper *Pseudomonas fluorescens* during establishment of ectomycorrhizal symbiosis between *Laccaria bicolor* and Douglas fir. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **63**, 139–144.
- Furuya, N., Yomasaki, S., Nishioka, M., Shiraishi, S., Iiyama, M. and Matsuyana, N. (1997). Antimicrobial activities of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 7700 against bacterial wilt of tomato. *Annals of the Phytopathological Society of Japan*, **63**, 417–424.
- Garcia, D. S. I. E., Hynse, R. K. and Nelson, L. M. (2001). Cytokinin production by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and selected mutants. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*, **47**, 404–411.
- Getha, K., Vikineswary, S., Wong, W., Seki, T., Ward, A. and Goodfellow, M. (2005). Evaluation of *Streptomyces* sp. strain G10 for suppression of *Fusarium* wilt and rhizosphere colonization in pot-grown banana plantlets. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology*, **32**, 24–32.
- Glick, B. R. (1995). The enhancement of plant growth by free-living bacteria. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*, **41**, 109–117.
- Granada, G. A. and Sequeira, L. (1983). A new selective medium for *Pseudomonas solanacearum*. *Plant Disease*, **67**, 1084–1088.
- Gray, E. J. and Smith, D. L. (2005). Intracellular and extracellular PGPR: Commonalities and distinctions in the plant–bacterium signalling processes. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **37**, 395–412.
- Gutierrez, M. F. J., Acero, N., Lucas, J. A. and Probanza, A. (1996). The influence of native rhizobacteria on European alder [*Alnus glutinosa* (L.) Gaertn.] growth. II. Characterization of growth-promoting and growth-inhibiting strains. *Plant and Soil*, **182**, 67–74.
- Gutierrez, M. F. J., Ramos-Solano, B., Probanza, A., Mehouchi, J., Tadeo, F. R. and Talon, M. (2001). The plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria *Bacillus pumilus* and *Bacillus licheniformis* produce high amounts of physiologically active gibberellins. *Physiologia Plantarum*, **111**, 1–7.
- Haas, D. and D  fago, G. (2005). Biological control of soil-borne pathogens by fluorescent pseudomonads. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, **3**, 307–319.
- Heydari, S., Moghadam, P. R. and Arab, S. M. (2008). Hydrogen cyanide production ability by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* bacteria and their inhibition potential on weed. In *Proceedings: Competition for Resources in a Changing World: New Drive for Rural Development*, Tropentag, Hohenheim.
- Jayashree, K., Shanmugam, V., Raguchander, T., Ramanathan, A. and Samiyappan, R. (2000). Evaluation of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (Pf-1) against blackgram and sesame root-rot disease. *Journal of Biological Control*, **14**, 55–61.
- Kado, C. I. (1992). Plant pathogenic bacteria. In A. Balows, H. G. Tr  per, M. Dworkin, K. H. Schleifer and W. Harder (Eds.), *The prokaryotes* (pp. 660–662). Springer-Verlag.
- Karuna, K., Khan, A. N. A. and Kumar, M. R. R. (2001). *Potential of biocontrol agents in the management of bacterial wilt of tomato caused by Ralstonia solanacearum*. Eighth Annual Report of the IPM Collaborative Research Support Program (IPM CRSP), Uganda.
- Kavitha, R. and Umesha, S. (2007). Prevalence of bacterial spot of tomato fields of Karnataka and effect of biological seed treatment on disease incidence. *Crop Protection*, **26**, 991–997.
- Kaymak, H. C. (2011). Potential of PGPR in agricultural innovations. In D. K. Maheshwari (Ed.), *Plant growth and health promoting bacteria* (Microbiology Monographs, Vol. 18, pp. 45–79). Springer.
- Kloepper, J. W., Ryn, C. M. and Zhang, S. (2004). Induced systemic resistance and promotion of plant growth by *Bacillus* sp. *Phytopathology*, **94**, 1259–1266.
- Kloepper, J. W., Scherth, M. N. and Miller, T. D. (1980). Effects of rhizosphere colonization by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on potato plant development and yield. *Phytopathology*, **70**, 1078–1082.
- Leeman, M., Van Pelt, J. A., Hendrickx, M. J., Scheffer, R. J., Bakker, P. A. H. M. and Schippers, B. (1995b). Biocontrol of *Fusarium* wilt of radish in commercial greenhouse trials by seed treatment with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* WCS374. *Phytopathology*, **85**, 1301–1305.
- Liu, Q. G., Li, Z., Tang, Z. and Zeng, X. M. (1999). Control of tobacco bacterial wilt with antagonistic bacteria and soil amendments. *Chinese Journal of Biological Control*, **15**, 94–95.
- Lucy, M., Reed, E. and Glick, B. R. (2004). Applications of free-living plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek*, **86**, 11–25.
- Lynch, J. M. (1990). *The rhizosphere*. Wiley-Interscience.
- Mahafee, W. F. and Kloepper, J. W. (1997). Temporal changes in the bacterial communities of soil, rhizosphere and endorhiza associated with field-grown cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). *Microbial Ecology*, **34**, 210–223.
- M  rtinez-Romero, E. and Wang, E. T. (2000). *Sesbania herbacea*–*Rhizobium huautlense* nodulation in flooded soils and comparative characterization of *S. herbacea* nodulating rhizobia in different environments. *Microbial Ecology*, **41**, 25–32.
- Maurya, M. K., Singh, R. and Tomer, A. (2014). *In vitro* evaluation of antagonistic activity of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* against fungal pathogen. *Journal of Biopesticides*, **7**, 43–46.
- Murphy, J. F., Zehnder, G. W., Schuster, D. J., Sikora, E. J., Polstan, J. E. and Kloepper, J. W. (2000). Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria-mediated protection in tomato mottle virus. *Plant Disease*, **84**, 779–784.
- Najar, A. G., Anwar, A., Masoodi, L. and Khar, M. S. (2011). Evaluation of native biocontrol agents against *Fusarium solani* f. sp. *melongenae* causing wilt disease of brinjal in Kashmir. *Journal of Phytology*, **3**, 31–34.
- Pal, K. K. and Gardener, B. M. (2006). Biological control of plant pathogens. *The Plant Health Instructor*, **2**, 1117–1142.
- Persello-Cartiaux, F., Nussaume, L. and Robaglia, C. (2003). Tales from the underground: Molecular plant–

- rhizobacteria interactions. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, **26**, 189–199.
- Prithviraj, B., Zhou, X., Souleimanov, A., Kahn, W. M. and Smith, D. L. (2003). A host-specific bacteria-to-plant signal molecule (Nod factor) enhances germination and early growth of diverse crop plants. *Planta*, **21**, 437–445.
- Rabindran, R. and Vidhyasekaran, P. (1996). Development of a formulation of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* PfALR 2 for management of rice sheath blight. *Crop Protection*, **15**, 715–721.
- Ramamoorthy, V., Viswanathan, R., Raguchander, T., Prakasam, V. and Samiyappan, R. (2001). Induction of systemic resistance by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria in crop plants against pests and diseases. *Crop Protection*, **20**, 1–11.
- Ran, L. X., Liu, C. Y., Wu, G. J., Van Loon, L. C. and Bakker, P. A. H. M. (2005). Suppression of bacterial wilt in *Eucalyptus urophylla* by fluorescent *Pseudomonas* spp. in China. *Biological Control*, **32**, 111–120.
- Rane, M. R., Naphade, B. S., Sayyed, R. Z. and Chincholkar, S. B. (2005). Methods for microbial iron chelator (siderophore) analysis. In G. K. Podila & A. I. K. Verma (Eds.), *Basic and applied research in mycorrhizae* (pp. 475–492). International, New Delhi, India.
- Rosales, A. M., Thomashow, L., Cook, R. J. and Mew, T. W. (1995). Isolation and identification of antifungal metabolites produced by rice-associated antagonistic *Pseudomonas* sp. *Phytopathology*, **85**, 1028–1032.
- Schippers, B., Bakker, A., Bakker, P. and Van Peer, R. (1990). Beneficial and deleterious effects of HCN-producing *Pseudomonads* on rhizosphere interactions. *Plant and Soil*, **129**, 75–83.
- Schneider, R. W. (1984). Effects of nonpathogenic strains of *Fusarium oxysporum* on celery root infection by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *apii* and a novel use of the Lineweaver–Burk double reciprocal plot technique. *Phytopathology*, **74**, 646–653.
- Shakya, D. D. (1993). Occurrence of *Pseudomonas solanacearum* in tomato seeds imported into Nepal. *Bacterial Wilt ACIAR*, **45**, 371–372.
- Shekhawat, G. S., Singh, R., Chakrabarti, S. K. and Singh, R. (1993). Possibility of biological management of potato bacterial wilt with microbial antagonists and latent potato viruses. *Journal of Indian Potato Association*, **20**, 219–222.
- Singh, J. S. and Seneviratne, G. (2017). *Agro-environmental sustainability: Volume 1, management of crop health*. Springer.
- Singh, P. P., Shin, Y. C., Park, C. S. and Chung, Y. R. (1999). Biological control of *Fusarium* wilt of cucumber by chitinolytic bacteria. *Phytopathology*, **89**, 92–99.
- Sivasakthi, S., Usharani, G. and Saranraj, P. (2014). Biocontrol potentiality of plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPR)—*Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Bacillus subtilis*: A review. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, **9**(16), 1265–1277.
- Sullivan, D. J. and Gara, F. O. (1992). Traits of *Pseudomonas* sp. involved in suppression of plant root pathogens. *Microbiological Reviews*, **56**, 662–676.
- Sunaina, V., Kishore, V., Shekhawat, G. S. and Kumar, M. (1997). Control of bacterial wilt of potatoes in naturally infested soil by bacterial antagonists. *Journal of Plant Diseases and Protection*, **104**, 362–369.
- Tripathi, M. and Johri, B. N. (2002). *In vitro* antagonistic potential of fluorescent pseudomonads and control of sheath blight of maize caused by *Rhizoctonia solani*. *Indian Journal of Microbiology*, **42**, 207–214.
- Umesha, S., Kavitha, R. and Shetty, H. S. (2005). Transmission of seed-borne infection of chilli by *Burkholderia solanacearum* and effect of biological seed treatment on disease incidence. *Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection*, **38**, 281–293.
- Umesha, S. (2006). Occurrence of bacterial canker in tomato fields of Karnataka and effect of biological seed treatment on disease incidence. *Crop Protection*, **25**, 375–381.
- Van Loon, L. C., Bakker, P. A. H. M. and Pieterse, C. M. J. (1998). Systemic resistance induced by rhizospheric bacteria. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, **36**, 453–483.
- Vidhyasekaran, P. and Muthamilan, M. (1999). Evaluation of powder formulation of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Pf1 for control of rice sheath blight. *Biocontrol Science and Technology*, **9**, 67–74.
- Vidhyasekaran, P., Kamala, N., Ramanathan, A., Rajappan, K., Paranidharan, V. and Velazhahan, R. (2001). Induction of systemic resistance by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Pf1 against *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* in rice leaves. *Phytoparasitica*, **29**, 155–166.
- Weller, D. M. (1988). Biological control of soil-borne pathogens in the rhizosphere. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, **26**, 379–407.
- Zheng, X. Y. and Sinclair, J. B. (2000). The effect of traits of *Bacillus megaterium* on seed and root colonization and their correlation with the suppression of *Rhizoctonia* root rot of soybean. *Journal of Biocontrol*, **45**, 223–243.